Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695	10/695,315		DRAGER ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview dumin	Examir	ner	Art Unit	
	Robert	B. Davis	1722	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) Robert B. Davis.	(3)	·		
(2) <u>Lawrence P. Kessler</u> .	. (4)	·		
Date of Interview: 20 November 2006	Time	: <u>10:45</u>	·	
	☐ Applicant's repr	esentative)	·	
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None.			•	
Claims discussed: 11 and 12.				
Prior art documents discussed: None.		•		
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL NAT	URE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brie</li> </ul>	ation. The examination eparate record of	er will provide a written the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since t	e substance
B				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applicant/Applican	t's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amending claim 11 to include the subject matter of claims 12, 14 or 15 into claim 11 to place the case in condition for allowance in a voicemail of 11/17/06. Mr. Kessler called the examiner on Monday to suggest placing the subject matter of claim 12 into claim 11 as shown in the examiner's amendment. The examiner agreed with Mr. Kessler's suggestion..